

PII: S0040-4039(97)10248-9

Vinylogous Sulfonates as Radical Acceptors for the Stereoselective Synthesis of Cyclic Ethers

P. Andrew Evans^{*} and Thara Manangan

Brown Laboratory, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716.

Abstract: Treatment of the E-vinylogous sulfonates la-g with tris(trimethylsilyl)silane and triethylborane, in the presence of air, at room temperature furnished the cyclic ethers 2/3a-g in 34-99% yield with ≥ 8 : 1 cis-diastereoselectivity. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd.

Cyclic ether containing natural products represent both architecturally challenging and biologically important molecules, that have stimulated the development of an array of methods for their stereocontrolled syntheses.^{1,2} Methods that utilize radicals as reactive intermediates have gained considerable prominence in recent times, primarily due to the high degree of stereocontrol that can often be achieved and the possibility of also forming several new carbon-carbon bonds in a single transformation.³⁻⁵ In a program directed at the synthesis of cyclic ether containing natural products, we decided to investigate the merit of vinylogous sulfonates for a series of intramolecular radical cyclizations. Vinylogous sulfonates would provide an attractive alternative to the vinylogous carbonates previously examined, ^{5s-c} by allowing alternative modes of functionalization. In this paper, we describe a series of novel intramolecular additions of acyl, alkyl and vinyl radicals to vinylogous sulfonates (Scheme 1), the results of which are summarized in Table 1.





Tetrahydrofuran construction: Treatment of the vinylogous sulfonates $1a-b^{6.7}$ with tris(trimethylsilyl)silane⁸ and triethylborane at room temperature, in the presence of air, furnished the 2,5-disubstituted tetrahydrofurans 2/3a-b in 87-99% yield, with excellent *cis*-diastereoselectivity (Entries 1-2). The stereochemical course of these cyclizations can be rationalized using the *Beckwith Transition State Model*, which has been used to predict the outcome of 5-hexenyl type radical cyclizations.^{9,10}

Tetrahydropyran construction: The synthesis of tetrahydropyrans was initiated by treating the vinylogous sulfonates $1c-e^{6.7}$ under analogous conditions to those described above, to afford the 2,6-disubstituted tetrahydropyran-3-ones 2c-e in excellent yield (Entries 3-5). The modest level of diastereocontrol obtained in the 6-*exo*-trigonal acyl radical cyclization is comparable to that observed with vinylogous carbonates under analogous conditions.^{5b} The diastereomeric mixture 2e/3e could however be equilibrated to the thermodynamically more stable diastereoisomer 2e, using a catalytic amount of 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) in refluxing benzene. The modest diastereocontrol in this particular cyclization may be circumvented by employing the Z-vinylogous sulfonate, as exemplified in our recent approach to the tetrahydropyran portion of the antitumor agent mucocin.^{5d}

Entry	Vinylogous Sulfonate 1 ^a				Conc. ^b	Ratio of 2:3 ^{c,d}	Yield (%) ^e
1	1a	n = 0	X = Br	$Y = H_2$	0.02 M	≥19 : 1	99
2	1 b	n = 0	X = SePh	Y = 0	11	17 : 1	87
3	1 c	n = 1	X = Br	$Y = H_2$	0.01 M	≥19 : 1	90
4	1 d	n = 1	X = Br	$Y = CH_2$	**	≥19 : 1	96
5	1e	n = 1	X = SePh	Y = 0	**	8:1	83
6	1f	n = 2	X = Br	$Y = H_2$	0.005 M	≥19 : 1	34
7	1 g	n = 2	X = SePh	Y = 0	"	17 : 1	63

Table 1: Intramolecular Radical Cyclizations of the E-Vinylogous Sulfonates 1a-g^{6.7}

^a All the cyclizations were carried out on a 0.3 mmol reaction scale. ^b (TMS)₃SiH, Et₃B, PhH, RT. ^c Ratios of diastereoisomers determined by 400 MHz ¹H-NMR integration. ^d All new compounds exhibited spectroscopic (IR, ¹H and ¹³NMR) and analytical (HRMS) data in accord with the assigned structure. ^c Isolated yields.

Oxepine construction: The synthesis of 2,7-disubstituted oxepines 2/3f-g was also investigated. Treatment of the vinylogous sulfonates 1f-g^{6,7} with tris(trimethylsilyl)silane⁸ and triethylborane, in the presence of air, at room temperature afforded the 2,7-disubstituted oxepines 2/3f-g in 34-63% yield, with very good diastereoselectivity (Entries 6-7). Interestingly, in the acyl radical cyclization the predominant byproduct was the aldehyde, which is presumably formed from the reduction of the acyl radical. The aldehyde byproduct can then, in principle, be reoxidized and converted to the acyl selenide 1g, and be resubmitted to the cyclization reaction. This clearly illustrates how the low temperature reaction conditions suppress decarbonylation,⁵⁶ which was the predominant pathway at

higher temperature (ca. 80 °C). It is evident from the yield that the vinylogous sulfonate is not as efficient an acceptor as the vinylogous carbonate for this particular ring size, especially the alkyl radical.

The Keck allylation protocol¹¹ was also applied to the 5-exo trigonal cyclization of 1a, in which the tandem formation of two new carbon-carbon bonds was achieved. Thus, treatment of the alkyl halide 1a with allyltributyltin and triethylborane at room temperature, in the presence of air, furnished the cyclic ether 4 in 91% yield, epimeric (1 : 1) at the phenylsulfonyl group (Scheme 2).¹⁰ This transformation is likely to provide a useful method for the homologation of these systems, and will be particularly attractive for the introduction of side chains in a single transformation, which will avoid a more standard multi-step homologation sequence.



Scheme 2

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the first examples of the intramolecular addition of acyl, alkyl and vinyl radicals to *E*-vinylogous sulfonates for the efficient and stereoselective synthesis of cyclic ethers. The excellent regiochemical control in the cyclization reactions described herein is presumably the result of the intramolecular addition of nucleophilic radicals to the LUMO of the vinylogous sulfonate.¹² The stereochemical outcome was confirmed by a series of NOE experiments, and is presumably the result of the favored transition state (n = 0, 1 and 2) having the alkyl substituent pseudo-equatorial with the vinyl ether *s*-trans, presumably to alleviate A^{1,3} allylic strain in the transition state leading to the product.⁵

Acknowledgment

We thank the National Institutes of Health (GM54623-01), DuPont Agrochemicals (Newark) and Zeneca Pharmaceuticals for generous financial support. The Development and Promotion of Science and Technology Talents Project (DPST)-Thailand is thanked for a Graduate Fellowship (TM). Lisa T. Garber is also thanked for performing some of the preliminary experiments involving vinylogous sulfonates.

References and Footnotes

1. (a) Doblem, M. *Ionophores and Their Structures*; Wiley-Interscience: New York, **1981**. (b) Westley, J. W., Ed.; *Polyether Antibiotics*, Marcel Dekker: New York, **1982**, Vols. I and II. (c) Erickson, K. L. in *Marine Natural Products*, Scheuer, P. J., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, **1983**, *Vol. 5*, Chapter 4, pp 131-257.

2. For recent reviews on cyclic ether syntheses, see: (a) Boivin, T. L. B. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 3309. (b) Harmange, J. -C.; Figadère, B. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 1711. (c) Alvarez, E.; Candenas, M. -L.; Perez, R.; Ravelo, J. L.; Martin, J. D. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 1953.

 (a) Giese, B. Radicals in Organic Synthesis: Formation of Carbon-Carbon Bonds, Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1986. (b) Jasperse, C. P.; Curran, D. P.; Fevig, T. L. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 1237.
 (c) Motherwell, W. B.; Crich, D. Free Radical Chain Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Academic Press: San Diego, 1992. (d) Curran, D. P. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon, Oxford, 1992, Vol. 4, pp 715, 779. (e) Ryu, I.; Sonoda, N.; Curran, D. P. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 177 and pertinent references therein.

4. For some representative examples of cyclic ether synthesis via radical cyclizations see: (a) Burke, S. D.; Jung, W. -J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 5837. (b) Ryu, I.; Kurihara, A.; Muraoka, H.; Tsunoi, S.; Kambe, N.; Sonoda, N. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 7570. (c) Dulcère, J. -P.; Dumez, E.; Faure, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 897. (d) Maiti, G.; Adhikari, S.; Roy, S. C. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1995, 927. (e) Srikrishna, A.; Viswajanani, R.; Yelamaggad, C. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 1127. (f) Hartung, J.; Gallou, F. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 6706. (g) Lee, E.; Park, C. -P.; Yun, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 8017 (h) Ihara, M.; Katsumata, A.; Setsu, F.; Tokunaga, Y.; Fukumoto, K. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 677. (i) Engman, L.; Gupta, V. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 157 and pertinent references within these articles.

(a) Evans, P. A.; Roseman, J. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 31. (b) Evans, P. A.; Roseman, J. D. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 2252. (c) Evans, P. A.; Roseman, J. D.; Garber, L. T. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 4880. (d) Evans, P. A.; Roseman, J. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 5249 and pertinent references within these articles.

6. The *E*-vinylogous sulfonates **1a-g** were prepared in a stereospecific manner using the protocol outlined below. Treatment of the various secondary alcohols with LiHMDS at 0 $^{\circ}$ C followed by *E*-bis(phenylsulfonyl)-1,2-ethylene furnished the *E*-vinylogous sulfonates in excellent yield.⁷

$$()_{n} X \xrightarrow{\text{LiHMDS, THF,}} ()_{n} X$$

$$M_{\theta} OH \xrightarrow{\text{PhO}_{2}S} SO_{2}Ph$$

$$n = 0, 1 \& 2 \xrightarrow{\text{88-97\%}} (X = CH_{2}Br, CH_{2}OTBS \text{ and } CBr=CH_{2})$$

7. Meek, J. S.; Fowler, J. S. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 985.

8. For a recent review on this reagent, see: Chatgilialoglu, C. Chem. Rev. 1995, 60, 3826.

9. Beckwith, A. L. J.; Easton, C. J.; Lawrence, T.; Serelis, A. K. Aust. J. Chem. 1983, 36, 545.

10. Curran, D. P.; Porter, N. D.; Giese, B. In Stereochemistry of Radical Reactions: Concepts, Guidelines and Synthetic Applications; VCH Publishers: New York, 1995.

11. For the early development of this reaction, see: (a) Keck, G. E.; Yates, J. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 5829. (b) Keck, G. E.; Yates, J. B. J. Org. Chem. **1982**, 47, 3590.

12. The LUMO of a vinyl sulfone and a vinyl ether are known to have larger coefficients at the β - and α -carbons respectively, thus reinforcing each other in a β -alkoxy vinyl sulfone. See: (a) Sims, J.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1973**, 95, 5798. (b) Fleming, I. Frontier Orbitals and Organic Chemical Reactions, John Wiley and Sons: New York, **1977**, p 186.

(Received in USA 25 August 1997; revised 19 September 1997; accepted 22 September 1997)